

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/086,821	05/	29/1998	MARCO LARA	ATV-004	8789
21323	7590	07/16/2003			
•		THIBEAULT,	EXAMINER		
HIGH STREE 125 HIGH ST	REET	₹	SALAD, ABDU	ILLAHI ELMI	
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2157	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicatin N .	t							
Examiner Salad E Abdullahi 2157 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address = Peri d for R ply			Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Saled E Abdullah Saled E Abdullah 2157 Port of for ply			09/086,821	LARA ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address − Peri of tor R ply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lettersions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In ore event, however, may a reply to timely filled the provision of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In ore event, however, may a reply to timely filled the provision of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In ore event, however, may a reply to timely filled the provision of the provision of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In ore event, however, may a reply to timely filled the provision of the provision		Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of time may be available under in previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event is filled previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. The previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event is marked above. The maximum 2011 previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. The previousmum 2011 previousmum 2011. 138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled, may reduce a fly commendation. 138(s) is action in FINAL. 2b)			Salad E Abdullahi	2157				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limbly filed after SIX (6) MONTS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of the six (6) MONTS from the mailing date of the communication. The provision of the six (6) MONTS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure to mply within the statustory patient within the patient of the reply within the statustory patient within the provision of the provisio								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.6-16.20 and 25-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1.6-16.20 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.6-16.20 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.6-16.20 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The peroidication is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 10 Notice of Informal Patent App	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,6-16,20 and 25-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1		Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 May 2003 .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,6-16,20 and 25-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14.) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori	· ·	<u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 1,6-16,20 and 25-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-949) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3)	3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 11 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 □ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 33 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 35 Patent and Tradement Office	Disp siti	on of Claims						
5)	/ ·	•						
6 Claim(s) 1,6-16,20 and 25-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)	i .							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15. Patent and Tradements Office.	5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6)🖂							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Horizon of Tradement of Diffice 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) S) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, <i>,</i> —	` '	nd/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:	_							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,— • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Pattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	a)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	14) 🗀 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	l	, —						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	'	•	• • •					
	2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) 5) Notice of					
			c Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 28				

W

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

Response

- 1. The Amendment filed on 05/08/2003 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-16, 20 and 25-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 9-13 recites the limitation "the transferring step" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

6. Claims 1, 6-16, 20 and 25, 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hu U.S. Patent No. 6,173,322.

As per claim 1, 15 and 20, Hu, discloses a system for distributing client requests among two or more servers, comprising:

- monitoring the servers to determine if a predetermined condition (i.e. failed or overloaded (see col. 15, lines 11-16);
- if the predetermined condition does exist at least one of the servers, redirecting by that server at least one client request from that server to another one of the servers (see col. 6, lines 11-22 and col 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 8).

In considering claim 6, Hu discloses a system, wherein the monitoring step comprises monitoring the system load of the host server (see col. 15, lines 11-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 7-8, and 14, Hu discloses a system, wherein the predetermined condition comprises a CPU utilization or memory or failure etc (see col. 9, lines 19-46).

In considering claims 9-13 and 16. Hu discloses a system, wherein the redirecting step comprises redirecting only if certain redirection criteria are met (see col. 12, lines 10-42).

In considering claim 25, Hu discloses a system, wherein the step of redirecting is initiated by an agent (redirection module 212) running on the same host as the server and communication with the server interface, wherein the agent instructs the server to redirect the request (see col. 5, lines 20-54).

In considering claims 26 and 31, Hu discloses a system for distributing content request among two or more servers, comprising:

- monitoring by a central manager (request manager 102) the servers to determine if a predetermined condition exists (i.e failed or overloaded) at one or more of the servers (see col. 5, lines 20-54 and col. 15, lines 10-60);
- if the predetermined condition does exist at least one of the servers, redirecting by that server at least one client request from that server to another one of the servers wherein the step of redirecting is initiated by an agent (redirection module 212) running on the same

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

host as the server and communication with the server interface, wherein the agent instructs the server to redirect the request (see col. 6, lines 11-22, col 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 8 and col. 5, lines 20-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 27-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hu U.S. Patent No. 6,173,322.

As per claims 27 and 29 Hu, discloses a system for distributing client requests among two or more servers, comprising:

- monitoring the servers to determine if a predetermined condition (i.e. failed or overloaded) (see col. 15, lines 11-16);
- if the predetermined condition does exist at least one of the servers, redirecting by that server at least one client request from that server to another one of the servers (see col. 6, lines 11-22 and col 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 8).

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821

Art Unit: 2157

Hu, is silent regarding the monitoring step includes monitoring request queue delay.

Nonetheless, monitoring the request queue delay of the servers would have been an obvious modification to Hu's system. Furthermore, Hu teaches performance characteristics or QOS parameters might be measured in a number of different ways such as measuring server response time to determine the status of the servers or how the servers are loaded. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to measure queue delay which contributes the server response time (see col. 9, lines 20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize QOS parameters such request queue delay which is more accurate indicative of the current load of a web servers in order to provide dynamic redirection and overload protection.

Page 6

In considering claims 28, 30, 32 and 33, Although Hu discloses substantial features of the claimed as discussed above with respect to claims 27, 26 and 31,

Hu, is silent regarding: the predetermined condition comprises request queue delay length greater than a predetermined number.

Nonetheless, the predetermined condition comprises request queue delay length greater than a predetermined number would have been an obvious modification to Hu's system. Furthermore, Hu teaches performance characteristics or QOS parameters might be measured in a number of different ways such as measuring server response time to determine the status of the servers or

Application/Control Number: 09/086,821 Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

how the servers are loaded (see col. 9, lines 20). Additionally, Hu teaches the monitoring module can be configured to fit users needs. Hence one skilled in the art presented with teaching of Hu would have been motivated to check if the request queue delay length of the server is greater than a predetermined number or certain threshold to dynamically redirect requests to other servers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize QOS parameters such determining number of requests in the queue delay in order to provide dynamic redirection and overload protection.

CONCLUSION

- 9. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abdullahi E. Salad** whose telephone number is (703) 308-8441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

 Etienne, Ario can be reached at (703)308-7562. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Art Unit: 2157

Any response to this action should mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238, (after final communications)

(703) 746-7239, (Official communications)

(703) 746-7240, (Non-Official/Draft).

AS

6/27/2003

SALEH NALIAR PRIMARY EXAMINER